ANALYZING PUBLIC DEBT MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR UZBEKISTAN: INSIGHTS FROM FOREIGN LITERATURE

https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11399227

Fayzullokh Sattoriy
Senior teacher of the Department of Finance,
Kimyo International University in Tashkent

Uzbekistan is a country undergoing rapid economic transformation. Like many developing nations, Uzbekistan grapples with the intricate challenge of managing its public debt effectively. Over the years, the country has leveraged external borrowing to fuel its ambitious development agenda, ranging from infrastructure upgrades to industrial modernization. However, the burgeoning accumulation of public debt poses a dual-edged sword, bearing both promises of progress and perils of financial instability.

Amidst this backdrop, understanding the nuances of public debt management becomes paramount. Uzbekistan's journey in navigating these financial waters is emblematic of the broader dynamics shaping fiscal policies in developing economies. Challenges loom large, ranging from limited access to international capital markets to the perpetual struggle of balancing debt servicing with pressing socioeconomic needs.

Moreover, Uzbekistan's debt management landscape is characterized by intricacies stemming from its diverse debt composition. Balancing external and domestic debt obligations requires finesse, further complicated by the need to navigate volatile global financial conditions. The quest for transparency and institutional capacity building remains ongoing, underscoring the imperative for Uzbekistan to fortify its debt management arsenal in the face of evolving economic realities.

Public debt management is a critical aspect of fiscal policy in developing countries, including Uzbekistan. Scholars have extensively studied various dimensions of public debt management, offering insights into challenges, strategies, and implications for economic stability. Below are summaries of five foreign articles that contribute to the understanding of public debt management, relevant to Uzbekistan's context.

Reinhart and Rogoff's seminal work examines the relationship between public debt levels and economic growth across a broad range of countries and historical periods. Their analysis suggests that high levels of public debt can impede economic growth, with particularly adverse effects observed when debt exceeds a threshold of around 90% of GDP. This finding underscores the importance of prudent debt management in sustaining long-term economic prosperity, a lesson with significant implications for policymakers in Uzbekistan.

Fatas and Mihov argue for the importance of fiscal discipline and rules-based frameworks in managing public debt effectively. Drawing on empirical evidence, they demonstrate that countries with credible fiscal rules tend to exhibit lower levels of public debt and greater macroeconomic stability. This suggests that institutional reforms aimed at enhancing fiscal transparency and accountability could be beneficial for Uzbekistan in strengthening its debt management practices.

Bulow and Rogoff analyze the implications of sovereign debt forgiveness for debtor nations. They argue that while debt relief initiatives can provide temporary relief, they may also create moral hazard by incentivizing reckless borrowing behavior. The authors advocate for a balanced approach to debt restructuring, emphasizing the importance of conditionality and incentives for debtor countries to undertake necessary reforms. This perspective is relevant for Uzbekistan as it navigates its debt management strategy, particularly in the context of international debt relief programs.

Cecchetti, Mohanty, and Zampolli examine the real effects of public debt accumulation on economic growth and financial stability. Their analysis highlights the potential transmission channels through which high levels of public debt can adversely impact investment, productivity, and consumption. The findings underscore the importance of adopting a comprehensive approach to debt management, encompassing fiscal consolidation, structural reforms, and measures to enhance debt sustainability. These insights are pertinent for Uzbekistan as it seeks to strike a balance between debt-financed investment and long-term economic stability.

Panizza and Presbitero investigate the causal relationship between public debt and economic growth, employing panel data analysis across a diverse set of countries. Contrary to conventional wisdom, their findings suggest a bi-directional relationship between debt and growth, with debt dynamics influenced by economic growth prospects. The study underscores the need for policymakers in Uzbekistan to adopt a nuanced approach to debt management, taking into account the complex interplay between debt levels, growth dynamics, and macroeconomic stability.

Uzbekistan encounters several challenges in managing its public debt. These challenges include limited access to international capital markets, volatile external financing conditions, and the need to balance debt servicing obligations with other fiscal priorities. Moreover, the country's debt composition, characterized by a mix of external and domestic debt, adds complexity to debt management efforts. Furthermore, the lack of transparent debt-related data and institutional capacity constraints pose additional hurdles to effective debt management practices.

Uzbekistan has taken steps to strengthen its public debt management framework in recent years. The government has introduced measures to enhance debt transparency, such as publishing debt-related information and conducting regular debt sustainability analyses. Additionally, Uzbekistan has pursued a cautious borrowing strategy, focusing on concessional financing and reducing reliance on commercial borrowing. Moreover, the country has implemented debt restructuring initiatives to manage debt repayment obligations more effectively [6].

To improve public debt management in Uzbekistan, several recommendations can be considered:

1. **Enhancing Institutional Capacity:** Uzbekistan should invest in building institutional capacity for debt management, including training staff and adopting international best practices.

2. **Diversifying Funding Sources:** The government should explore alternative sources of financing, such as domestic bonds and public-private partnerships, to reduce reliance on external borrowing.

3. **Strengthening Debt Sustainability Analysis:** Uzbekistan should conduct regular debt sustainability analyses to assess the long-term viability of its debt levels and identify potential risks.

4. **Improving Debt Transparency:** The government should enhance transparency and disclosure of debt-related information to improve investor confidence and facilitate market access.

5. **Promoting Fiscal Discipline:** Uzbekistan should prioritize fiscal discipline and ensure that borrowing is aligned with national development priorities, with a focus on productive investments that generate long-term returns.

Effective public debt management is crucial for ensuring fiscal sustainability and promoting economic stability in Uzbekistan. By addressing the challenges and implementing the recommendations outlined in this article, Uzbekistan can enhance its debt management practices, reduce vulnerability to external shocks, and create an enabling environment for sustainable development. Ultimately, prudent debt management is essential for unlocking Uzbekistan's growth potential and improving the well-being of its citizens.

References

1. Bulow, J., & Rogoff, K. (1989). Sovereign Debt: Is to Forgive to Forget? *American Economic Review*, *79*(1), 43–50.
2. Cecchetti, S. G., Mohanty, M. S., & Zampolli, F. (2011). The Real Effects of Debt. *Economic Symposium Conference Proceedings - Jackson Hole*, 4–6.
3. Fatas, A., & Mihov, I. (2003). The Case for Restricting Fiscal Policy Discretion. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *118*(4), 1419–1447.
4. Panizza, U., & Presbitero, A. F. (2013). Public Debt and Economic Growth: Is There a Causal Effect? *Journal of Macroeconomics*, *41*, 21–41.
5. Reinhart, C. M., & Rogoff, K. S. (2010). Growth in a Time of Debt. *American Economic Review*, *100*(2), 573–578.
6. Jumaniyozov, I. T., & Abdumannobov, A. M. (2020). MANAGING PUBLIC DEBT. In Ключевые проблемы современной науки (pp. 3-7).