METHOD OF SEMANTIC DIFFERENTIAL

Scientific supervisor **Sarvaraxon Gofurova** Xakimova Dilnura

he student of Andijan State Institute of Foreign Language

Abstract: The paper presents the results of the pre-research conducted under the project entitled "Seniors in the Eyes of Children". The main objective of the project was to create and test a research tool in order to establish what the attitudes of pupils to old age and seniors were. Semantic differential was chosen for these purposes. Semantic differential serves to map attitudes, penetrates the deeper layers of thinking, and tries to understand the individual meanings of words and the feelings of respondents. The paper primarily deals with the semantic differential method, the preparation and implementation of the pre-research, the process of creation of the research tool, and the individual steps in the collection and evaluation of data.

Keywords: Pre-research, Semantic Differential,Research Tool Creation, Pre-research Implementation

Introduction Due to changes in the demographic structure of the Czech population, the issue of old age and seniors is becoming increasingly more topical. The increase in the length of life and a relatively low number of births lead to a significan increase in the proportion of people aged over 65; the second half of the 21st century will thus belong to seniors. In 2011, the percentage of population aged over 65 was 15.5 % according to the Czech Statistical Office. In 2060, their proportion will reach 34 % of all citizens of the Czech Republic (1). There is an ever higher number of old people, and Czech society has not yet desisted from various negative prejudices and myths concerning seniors. These attitudes are formed as early as school age and are affected by different factors. Children may take over these sometimes positive but unfortunately mainly

37-to'plam 2-qism Noyabr 2024

negative attitudes from their families, schools, parents, teachers, peers, the media etc. In this context, family upbringing and school education are very important and should promote intergenerational understanding. The main aim of the project entitled "Seniors in the Eyes of Children" was to create the semantic differential method and test it in practice in order to find out whether this method was suitable for examining the attitudes of primary-school pupils to old age and seniors.

2. Relations between Children and Seniors. People in today's society generally live longer, and the progress in medicine contributes to the fact that seniors are nowadays healthier and more active; at the same time, the birth rate is decreasing. All this leads to an increase in the number of elderly people and the ageing of our society (2). The population ageing has a number of negative consequences that are reflected in the attitudes of the younger generations to the elderly and in the attitude of the society to seniors. However, these attitudes and approaches are formed especially on the basis of various myths and a stereotypical perception of old age and elderly people which.children take over from their parents and other people close to them. These negative ideas, myths and prejudices are often very misleading and, among other things, contribute to the worsening of intergenerational relationships. In bygon eras, when multiple generations lived together, children could see the respect for old age, noticed the need for mutual help and belonging etc. However, this family model no longer exists, with some exceptions; children encounter elderly people less and less frequently, and the two generations are drifting apart (3). Instead of intergenerational learning, respect for seniors, and the wisdom and usefulness of seniors, we rather hear of intergenerational conflicts, wars between generations and, unfortunately, discrimination against seniors by young people (2). Old people are figuratively, sometimes literally cast aside. Each generation grows up in different conditions, and thus gains different life experience, opinions, attitudes, values and standards to a certain extent. These differences were not so apparent at a time when the world changed only slowly Nowadays, however, with the continuous progress of modern science and technology, this intergenerational gap is widening even more because old people do not really understand the technological world. It is therefore very important that these two generations meet and share information, experience etc. If children, parents and grandparents live together, they can communicate more intensively, share life experience in practice, learn the importance of the existence of another person, coexist naturally and settle any conflicts directly (4). If these generations do not live together, children should at least meet their grandparents as often as possible, as grandparents play a crucial role in the family community in terms of the mutual affection between the two generations of parents. Thanks to the educational influence of grandparents, children can connect the present and the past, perceive traditions, values etc. By watching the attitudes of their parents to the grandparents, children learn to form their own attitudes which they will apply in relation to their own parents (5). Teaching children mutual understanding should not end with the completion of the school education; the development of intergenerational understanding should be lifelong. The society should focus on activities leading to the understanding of the needs of elderly people and the ageing process by young people, as well as activities that will help familiarise the elderly with the world of the young, thereby resulting in a mutual understanding (6).

3. Semantic Differential

Semantic differential is a research tool by means of which we can measure the psychological meanings which certain objects (mostly concepts) have for individuals. It is a know fact that if several people assess a single object, each of them will see the object differently. This method is focused on establishing the way in which people perceive concepts, where each such concept has a connotative as well as denotative meaning (7). Semantic differential is among psychosemantic methods, which strive to understand the individual meanings of words, and thus penetrate deeper layers of thinking and the feelings of the respondent, especially where the communication has an emotional as well as factual aspect. Understanding the meaning which the respondent assigns to certain words means understanding the respondent's world (8). A characteristic advantage of semantic differential lies in the possibility of penetrating the individual hidden meanings

of concepts and in the fact that the respondents do not know the exact way in which their answers will be processed, and thus cannot intentionally distort the overall results (9). The author of the method is the American professor Charles Osgood, who developed it together with G. J. Suci and P. H. Tannenbaum in 1957. The individual meanings of concepts are measured using a certain number of assessment scales. Respondents record their opinions of the assessed objects by choosing certain points on the scales, where both ends of the scales constitute a pair of opposing adjectives. By choosing a point on the scales, respondents convey the degree of the trait or characteristics expressed by the respective pair of adjectives. Numerical values are thes assigned to the points on the scales (7). Each concept can be assessed in terms of three dimensions or factors, namely the factor of evaluation, the factor of potency and the factor of activity. The factor of evaluation is the most important and can be interpreted as positive or negative (e.g. pleasant - unpleasant, good - bad). The factor of potency can be interpreted as the strength of the concept, i.e. whether the concept seems to be strong and dominant or weak (e.g. coarse – soft, heavy – light). The factor of activity can be interpreted as a relation of the concept to movement and change (e.g. active - passive, slow - fast). If we identify these three factors for a concept, we establish the concept's individual psychological meaning, according to Osgood. The meanings of individual concepts can then be graphically depicted as points in a three-dimensional space, called the semantic space. It is a space in which the positions of the evaluated concepts are marked using the semantic differential method; on the basis of the proximity or distance between the concepts, the degree of the concepts' similarity or difference can be interpreted. We can also calculate the linear D distance, using which we can establish the distances between the individual concepts in the semantic space. The calculated values of the linear distance are generally recorded in a D-matrix, which then indicates which concepts are close and which are distant in terms of their meanings (7). In the creation of the semantic differential, it is necessary to adhere to a certain sequence of the individual steps. The first step is the selection of the concepts we want to evaluate. These concepts should be related. The next

step is the selection of a suitable number of the scale points. Most often, seven-point scales are used. It is also necessary to determine suitable bipolar adjectives. In the original semantic differential, Osgood divided the adjectives into the factors, where in each factor there was the same number of adjectives. According to other authors, however, it is not always necessary to select all three factors; sometimes it is enough to use one or two depending on the concept under evaluation (10). According to Ferjenčík (11), the selection of adjectives should meet two requirements – representativeness and relevance. Representativeness means the fact that the chosen adjective relatively clearly represents only one of the dimensions of the semantic space. Relevance means that the adjective should be related to the concept under evaluation in terms of content. However, Osgood suggests that even relatively distant adjectives should be used because this is a projective method. The last step is the arrangement of the adjectives on a bipolar scale. All positive characteristics have to be on one end of the scale and all negative characteristics on the other. In order to reduce the risk of stereotypical assessment, some of the scales may be presented in reverse. This means that the end points of the scales are reversed. However, this has to be taken into account when processing the results (10)Evaluation and Results of the Project 73 pupils participated in the pre-research, and the return rate of the completed semantic differential record sheets was one hundred percent. Such a return rate was ensured because the researcher distributed the semantic differential to the pupils directly. Of the total number, we had to disqualify two record sheets because they were incomplete. A total of 71 semantic differential record sheets were evaluated. In the preresearch, we focused on the suitability and adequacy of the research tool, its testing in practice, and verifying its validity and reliability. In order to establish the validity of the research tool, we used the statistical method of cluster analysis, namely the explorative cluster analysis - the extraction of the main component and a normalised VARIMAX. In order to establish reliability, we used the calculation of Cronbach's alpha coefficient.

Conclusions

The attitudes of school-age children to old age and seniors are formed gradually, and their formation and development may be affected by different factors. In this context, significant role is played not only by the family but also by the school, which is crucial in the establishment of relations to elderly people, in the understanding of the issue of old age, and in forming intergenerational understanding. The semantic differential method serves to map attitudes and measure hidden, connotative meanings of concepts, and we believe that on the basis of the conducted pre-research it is a suitable method for examining the attitudes of primary-school pupils to old age and seniors. Semantic differential is not used only in pedagogy; it can be applied on various research issues in the field of personality examination, consultancy or clinical, social or pedagogical psychology. It is sufficiently reliable, valid and flexible, and the data processing is fast and easy thanks to the advanced information technology (9). However, the preparation of the semantic differential as such is rather time-consuming and associated with many difficulties. In the case of our pre-research, particularly the construct validity of the scales seemed problematic, as it was not confirmed for all the scales proposed by us. This problem has been encountered by many researchers using the method of their own semantic differentials; that is why we had expected this, and we will now strive to correct the research tool so that it is valid and can be used in the research focused on establishing the attitudes of primary-school pupils to old age and seniors.

REFERENCES

1. Amosova N.N. Fundamentals of English phraseology. -L; LGU, 1963. -208p.

2. Ginzburg R., Knidekel S., Mednikova E., Sankin A. Verbal collacations in Modern English. -M, 1975. -125 p.

3. Kunin A.V.Anglo-russkiy frazeologicheskiy slovar: Ok. 20000 frazeol. edinits G`A.V.Kunin. M.: Rus. yaz., 1984.-942 s.

4. Kunin A.V. Kurs frazeologii sovremennogo angliyskogo yazika: Ucheb. dlya intov i fak. inostr. yaz. G'A.V. Kunin. -M: Vo'ssh. shk. Dubna: Izd. tsent "Feniks", 1996.-380 s.

5. V. Teliya, N. Bragina, E. Oparina, I. Sandomirskaya. Phraseology as a language of culture: its role in the representation of a collective mentality in Cowie (ed.) 1998.-55 p.

6. //www.Englishphraseologicalunits.com/